



Filosofiska institutionen

KOGP04 Kognitionsvetenskap: Kognition och kommunikation, 7,5 hp

Fastställd av Filosofiska institutionens studierektor 2021-12-20

Bavelas, J. (2007). Face-to-face dialogue as a micro-social context: The example of motor mimicry. In Duncan, S., Cassell, J. & Levy, E. (eds.) *Gesture and the Dynamic Dimension of Language*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, pp. 127-146.

Foulsham, Tom & Lock, Maria (2015): How the Eyes Tell Lies: Social Gaze During a Preference Task. *Cognitive Science* 39 (2015) 1704 –1726.

Goodwin, C. (2003). Pointing as Situated Practice. In Kita, S. (ed.), *Pointing: Where Language, Culture and Cognition Meet*. N J Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum, pp. 217– 241.

Griffin, Z. M. (2004). Why Look? Reasons for eye movements related to language production. In *The Interface of Language, Vision, and Action: Eye Movements and the Visual World* (pp. 213-248). Psychology Press. DOI: 10.4324/9780203488430

Gärdenfors, P. (2021): Bodily Forces, Actions and the Semantics of Verbs.

Gärdenfors, P. (2024): Some Tenets of Cognitive Semantics. *Manuskript*.

Gärdenfors, P. & Holsanova, J. (2008). Communication, cognition and technology. In: Gärdenfors, P. & Wallin, A. (eds.), *A Smorgasbord of Cognitive Science*, 231- 247. Nya Doxa.

Holler, J., & Levinson, S. C. (2019). Multimodal language processing in human communication. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 23(8), 639-652.

Hostetter, A. (2011). When Do Gestures communicate? A Meta-Analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*. Vol. 137, No. 2, 297–315.

- Kendon, A. (1986). Some reasons for studying gesture. *Semiotica*, 62, 3–28.
- Langacker, R. (1986). An Introduction to Cognitive Grammar. *Cognitive Science*, 10: 1-40.
- Mondada, L. (2014). The local constitution of multimodal resources for social interaction. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 65: 137-156.
- Pickering, M.J. & Garrod, S. (2013). An integrated theory of language production and comprehension. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 36(4): 329-47.
- Raheem, Sana et al. (2018): Variability and interpretation of communication taxonomy during robot-assisted surgery: Do we all speak the same language? *BJU Int* 2018.
- Rasenberg, M., Özyürek, A., & Dingemanse, M. (2020). Alignment in multimodal interaction: An integrative framework. *Cognitive Science*, 44(11), e12911.
- Satchidanand A, et al. (2021): “Put the what, where? Cut here?!” challenges to coordinating attention in robot-assisted surgery: a microanalytic pilot study. *BMJ Open* 2021
- Reddy, M.J. (1979). The conduit metaphor: A case of frame conflict in our language about language. In Ortony, A. (ed.), *Metaphor and Thought*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 284–310.
- Thibodeau, Paul, Teenie Matlock & Stephen J Flusberg (2019): The role of metaphor in communication and thought. *Language and Linguistics Compass* 13(3) 2019:e12327 DOI: 10.1111/lnc3.12327
- Wilson D. & Dan Sperber D. (2004). Relevance Theory. In Horn, L.R. & Ward, G. (eds.) *The Handbook of Pragmatics*. Oxford: Blackwell, pp. 607-632.
- Wu, Y. C., & Coulson, S. (2007). Iconic gestures prime related concepts: An ERP study. *Psychonomic bulletin & review*, 14(1), 57-63.

Optional readings:

- Chu, M., & Hagoort, P. (2014). Synchronization of speech and gesture: Evidence for interaction in action. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 143(4), 1726.

Rossi, G. (2021). Conversation Analysis (CA). In Stanlaw, J. (ed.), *The International Encyclopedia of Linguistic Anthropology*. DOI: 10.1002/9781118786093.iela0080

Streeck, J. (2015). Embodiment in human communication. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 44: 419-38.

Wagner, P. et al. (2014). Gesture and speech in interaction: an overview. *Speech Commun.* 57, 209–232.